Present: Daniel Abramson (Chair); Ning Ma; David Garman; Sara Lewis; Christiana Olfert; Rachel Bonoan, GSC Student Representative; Sophie Pearlman, TCU Senate Student Representative; Bianca Capretta, TCU Senate Student Representative; Ex Officio Members: Laura C. Wood; Laura Walters; Evan Simpson
Absent: Pawan Dhingra; Daniele Lantagne; Richard Weiss; Ron Lasser; Marc Hodes; Chip Gidney

1. Chair’s Welcome and Member Introductions: Daniel Abramson, Chair
   Danny welcomed the members of the Library Committee and asked everyone to introduce themselves.

2. Director’s Update: Laura Wood, Director, Tisch Library
   Iron Mountain has developed a new off-site storage model and pricing structure. Tisch Library is moving ahead to participate in an off-site storage pilot project. We will send a small number of volumes that have not been circulating to the off-site storage. The committee requested that review and revision of the off-site storage document they submitted last year be added to the February 2016 agenda. In the meantime, they would like to receive and review the Iron Mountain off-site storage model document.

3. Update on Digital Asset Management (DAM) and TROVE demo: Laura Wood
   Open source repositories have been developed at Tufts for the Tufts community, primarily by DCA. Tufts Digital Library (TDL), a repository for text, images, audio, etc., also has a dark archive that is secure and not visible through an interface. The repository holds student work – theses, dissertations, Capstone projects – as well as faculty work. Faculty can deposit their own materials, including pre-print and self-archived. These materials can be accessed by others through a search engine. Self-deposit can be done by students, also. Their materials are reviewed by library staff with metadata added to make it more findable. Staff are working on policies and practices re: theses, dissertations, and other student work.

   TROVE was borne out of a collaboration between Art and Art History, Tisch Library, and other units on campus. It is only available to the Tufts community, and is designed to meet course needs. Can search by name for descriptions, thumbnails, and images. One of the biggest benefits of TROVE is that it has cataloging information and the images are available in different sizes and resolutions. Anyone can organize items from TROVE into folders of their own collections. Faculty from other departments

4. Faculty Research/Publishing Study: Laura Walters, Associate Director for Collections and User Services (see attached)
   Laura Walters conducted in-person interviews with 41 AS&E faculty members during the Spring 2015 semester. The focus of the interviews was faculty dissemination of research, with a particular emphasis on how the changing publishing landscape is affecting the ways in which faculty share their scholarly output.

   Laura discussed 3 key findings that are actionable items:
   a. The business of publishing
   b. The Tufts Digital Library (TDL)/Institutional Repository
   c. Graduate student scholarship
Committee members expressed concern that librarians don’t have enough expertise for contract review. Laura Wood responded that the librarians’ focus is related to faculty and student education re: evaluating key issues related to contracts as well as self-advocacy prior to consulting with legal counsel. Library staff are happy to partner with departments or a coalition of departments to provide workshops on authors’ rights. Members also suggested that librarians create a series of short videos to educate faculty and students; provide written information to new faculty during orientation as well as to their senior faculty members and the deans. Both TCU Senate reps also recommended that the library host a required course during new student orientation re: library services and resources. Assistance with Data Management Plans was brought up, too. The library began Data Management Plan reviews in 2011 in response to federal agencies’ mandate for them.

With regard to the TDL, members varied in their opinion re: adding their work. For some, if turn-around was longer than 2 weeks, they would not be interested, while for others, they would be willing to wait 1 – 2 months if they did not have to do any work related to ingest themselves.

The DDS was lauded by faculty as a fantastic resource for faculty as well as student scholarship.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 PM. The next Library Committee Meeting will be on February 10, 2016 from noon – 1:20 PM in the Austin Conference Room.